

# ***The Builder Magazine***

**March 1915 - Volume 1 - Number 3**

## **THE MASTER'S WORD AND THE ROYAL ARCH**

BY BRO. S. W. WILLIAMS, GRAND HIGH PRIEST OF TENNESSEE

"The MASTER'S WORD \* \* \* is the reward of study and devotion, and has never been obtained on any other terms. It has never been conferred in the ritualistic degrees of the Lodge, and never will or can be. It is the establishment of understanding in the Soul of man between that higher self in him, and the MORE and Beyond Self from which he draws his life, and from which his intuitions spring. This is the Real Initiation: At-One-Ment." ("Mystic Masonry." by J. Buck.)

Let us see. The Blue Lodge symbolizes this Life, from the Cradle to the Grave. From our entrance upon the Stage of Life's great Drama, 'till its close, when "Exeunt Omnes" is the order of the Greatest of Stage Managers, and the "Curtain of Life" is run down. The Chapter comes next in the Masonic System--capped with the Royal Arch--formerly, and we might say correctly, termed the HOLY ROYAL ARCH, for such it surely is.

The Past Master's degree has no place in the Masonic System--being merely a complimentary degree-- a sort of enabling Act to qualify the Candidate for the Royal Arch degree. The Mark and Most Excellent Master's degrees are amplifications of the Work of the Blue Lodge and have no part in the consecutive work leading up to the Royal Arch in the position they now occupy.

Mackey and other teachers tell us that the Royal Arch degree is the symbolic representation of the state after death. Life's vanities and follies have passed away; even the first Temple, erected with such care through Life, has

succumbed, and decay and desolation only appear in its stead.

Masonry is a search for Light--More and MORE LIGHT as we ascend the rounds of the Ladder--and Masonic Light is TRUTH ETERNAL. In his "Search" the Seeker will discover profound secrets of which he was previously ignorant. They had never been explained in the Lodge and NEVER WILL BE. However, they are there for all who will not only "Ask," but earnestly "Seek" for them. It must be personal, self sacrificing, painstaking and consecrated service, otherwise we shall fail in our search for these Treasures of Masonry that are never more than hinted at in the Lodge and never explained.

This is one of the things that each man "must do for himself" and if not undertaken in the proper spirit, he will find the Door securely barred against him--and he will "knock" in vain. Thus, if we do not attain the FULLNESS of Light, it will be our own fault-- we have not properly used all of the "Working Tools" that were given us in the Lodge and were there so carefully explained.

The Symbolism of the Royal Arch.

The City of Jerusalem and its first Temple in the Royal Arch symbolize our Spiritual Natures--Pure and Innocent--fresh from the hand of our Father in Heaven.

By contact with the World it becomes corrupted by Sin--crumbles under the assaults of the enemy, and we become prisoners--slaves-- just as our ancient brethren did to the Chaldeans.

After a period of repentance we determine to lead a new life, and with this end in view, leave our Babylon --where we have been enchained by the Powers of Sin --to start life afresh.

Our journey across the Desert, with its trials and tribulations, represents our first efforts to rebuild our Lost Character, and once more become good and true, and pure before God and Man, i.e.-- to rebuild the Temple which we have once destroyed.

We struggle on--each day is fraught with cherished memories of by-gone years--and each night, as we lie down to rest beneath the Starry decked Canopy of Heaven, finds us nearer our Goal--nearer HOME.

Finally, we reach the spot where we have dreamed that we shall reap our reward. There, standing on the Mount of Olives, we see --NOT the prosperous, well regulated City that we remember, with its impregnable fortifications, soaring Towers, marble Palaces and magnificent Temple erected to the Most High God, but a mass of unrecognizable ruins.

At first, we are disheartened, shocked, discouraged --but, remembering our good resolutions, we turn to the God of our Fathers for strength--and then take up in real earnest our Work in Life-- willing to make any sacrifice to win, and offer unto Him our services in "Any part of the Work--even the most difficult."

Under His guidance, we are directed where to begin our labors. It is a hard task, this digging among the ruins--this removing the rubbish which Sin and Vice have fastened upon our Souls--but we bravely press on and are finally rewarded by finding the Keystone of an Arch. It is the Keystone of Faith in the Arch of God's Promises attained by Loyal and Devoted Service. We take it up and offer it to the Master, who encourages us, but tells us there is still more work for us to do if we would win the coveted prize-- this time more trying and more dangerous.

We return to the scene of our labors--this time to penetrate this Arch--and, searching amid the accumulated damp and slime of years, find three squares which we take up to Him who is directing the Work. They prove to be the Squares of Virtue, Morality and Brotherly Love.

We are put to a further test of our sincerity purpose and asked if we would be willing to again penetrate this Arch in search of further treasures. Our answer you well remember--and we are told "Go -- and rest assured that your valuable services will not be unrewarded."

Gaining strength and courage with each successful effort, we once more return to the scene of our labors. This time we are more favored--the Sun is now in a position to shine into the Arch and we discover, in a remote corner, a strange Box, all covered with Pure Gold, upon whose top and sides are certain mysterious characters which we do not understand.

We take this up and lay it before the Master -- and then is brought to Light the Treasure of the Ages -- the Long Lost M. M. Word -- the symbol of that Divine Love and Truth which passeth all human understanding.

Then it is that we hear those blessed words calling us to our reward:

"Well done, good and faithful servant, enter thou into that higher and holier Life, where the wicked cease from troubling and the weary findeth rest."

Then we are permitted to enter "That Temple not made with hands eternal in the Heavens."

We have expiated our Sin and have been forgiven -- and God has fulfilled his promise and called us Home. The Story of the Royal Arch Degree is of the Reclamation of a Lost Soul -- Redeemed by Trust in God's Love, attested by a Life of Faithful and devoted Service.

Light From the East.

We learn from Students of the Great School of India where many of the most ancient Mysteries are still preserved in their original purity, that, surrounding this Earth is a Circle of Darkness, and beyond that, seven Spheres of Light, corresponding to the Colors of the Spectrum--beginning with the RED and ending with the VIOLET. Beyond this, the Violet gradually fades away and merges with and into a Realm of Light of ineffable Purity and Whiteness--millions of times whiter than anything that Mortals can imagine.

All of these Spheres are inhabited by the Spiritual bodies of Men. Some never get through the Realm of Blackness; others struggle onward and upward--gradually getting

higher and higher in the Spheres of Color --all struggling to attain the Light which is hidden from the eyes of mortals until their Spiritual sight is prepared to behold it in all its glory.

TO REVIEW--Thus, we find the Blue Lodge furnishes Rules and Precepts to be followed in this life; and concludes with the sublime lesson of Death and a Resurrection to a Glorious Immortality--a life beyond the Grave. Here the Royal Arch follows and carries the symbolism into that after life--going back far enough to connect the one with the other.

St. Paul tells us "There is a Natural Body and there is a Spiritual Body."--I.Cor. XV-44.

The Spiritual Body, with the Soul (its Guide) left the Physical Body at the close of the Master Mason's degree, and then passes into the "Valley of the Shadow" --the Realm of Darkness-- representing the Bondage of Sin in Babylon. Aided by the Wings of Faith in God's Promises and guided by the Star of Hope, it finally enters the first of the seven Veils of Light--the Red. Here, freed from the Bonds of Sin for the first time since childhood, it begins its journey through the Spheres with that "fervency and zeal which should actuate all Royal Arch Masons."

As the journey proceeds, the Red begins to fade and merges into an Orange which betokens the first realization of hardship and weariness--and a weakening of the first flush of enthusiasm which was present at the start.

We come to the "Bend of the River" and face the perils and hardships of the Desert. This is symbolized by the Yellow in the list of Colors. (A yellow flag at the Masthead of a ship at sea is a sign of sickness--of distress and suffering on board) And, were it not that the Spirit becomes stronger and Purer with each obstacle that is overcome, many would (and indeed, very many do) fall by the wayside. Fortitude, in the shape of a kindly guide, comes to our assistance and the end of the Desert journey is reached.

"We shall now turn our faces to the South--passing through Syria and toward Damascus.

Once more are we to feast our eyes upon GREEN Hills and fertile valleys--unforgotten through the years of exile.

Grand old Lebanon comes into view, rearing its lofty peak into the azure BLUE of a tropical sky and here we find a host of Helpers-- TRUTH, CONSTANCY, FIDELITY, FRIENDSHIP and HOPE--to welcome and cheer us on our way.

Passing down the Valley of the Jordan many familiar scenes greet the eye and the Spirit is filled with thankfulness at being once more permitted to view our native land. We kneel and pour forth a prayer of thanksgiving to the God of our Fathers.

Presently a single ray of RED (the Color of ZEAL and enthusiasm) comes down the spheres to us with the thoughts of our years of bondage--and the RED, mingling with the Blue in its deepest shade, makes the Heartsease (Indigo Purple) with all its blessed promises of Glories yet to come.

Still pressing on, we climb the Mount of Olives; and there stand in the VIOLET! All about us are the Angels of Purity and Meekness. There are blessed recollections passing to and fro like the rustle of Angels' Wings--and the Guide says "Let us press on."

## **A PRAYER.**

I kneel not now to pray that Thou

Make white one single sin,

I only kneel to thank Thee, Lord,

For what I have not been--

For deeds which sprouted in my heart

But ne'er to bloom were brought,

For monstrous vices which I slew  
In the shambles of my thought.  
So for the man I might have been  
My heart must cease to mourn--  
'Twere best to praise the living Lord  
For monsters never born,  
To bend the spiritual knee  
(Knowing myself within)  
And thank the kind benignant God  
For what I have not been."  
--Harry Kemp.

## **BEETHOVEN.**

O Psalmist of the weak, the strong,  
O Troubadour of love and strife,  
Co-Litanist of right and wrong,  
Sole Hymner of the whole of life,  
I know not how, I care not why,  
Thy music brings this broil to ease,  
And melts my passion's mortal cry  
Into satisfying symphonies.  
Yea, it forgives me all my sins,  
Fits life to love like rhyme to rhyme,

And tunes the task each day begins

By the last trumpet-note of Time.

--Sidney Lanier.

----o----

"So many gods, so many creeds,

So many paths that wind and wind;

While just the art of being kind

Is all this sad world needs."

## **THE PHILOSOPHY OF MASONRY**

Five Lectures Delivered under the Auspices of the Grand  
Master of Massachusetts Masonic Temple, Boston

BY BROTHER ROSCOE POUND, PROFESSOR OF  
JURISPRUDENCE IN HARVARD UNIVERSITY

III

OLIVER

KRAUSE'S philosophy is concerned chiefly with the relation of Masonry to the philosophy of law and government. Oliver's philosophy of Masonry deals rather with Masonry in its relation to the philosophy of religion. In order to understand this we need only note that Krause was by profession a philosopher and that the main work of his life was done in the philosophy of law and of government while, on the other hand, Oliver was a clergyman. As in Preston's case, Oliver's general philosophical ideas came to him ready-made. He flowed with the philosophical current of his time. He did not turn it into new channels or affect its course as did Krause. Hence here, as with Preston, we may conveniently consider Oliver's philosophy of Masonry under three

heads: 1. The man; 2. The time; 3. His Masonic philosophy as a product of the two.

1. The man. George Oliver was born at Pepplewick in the county of Nottingham, November 5, 1782. His father was a clergyman of the established church and his mother was the daughter of a country gentleman. Hence he had the advantage of a bringing up under conditions of culture and refinement. He was educated at Nottingham and made such progress that at twenty-one he was made second master of the grammar school at Caistor in Lincolnshire. Six years later he was made head master of King Edward's grammar school at Great Grimsby. In 1813 he took orders but continued to teach. In 1815 he was given a living by his bishop as the result of an examination and at the same time, as the phrase was, was put on the boards of Trinity College, Cambridge, as a so-called ten-year man. That is he was given ten years in which to earn his degree. Thus in 1836 he was able to take his degree of doctor of divinity. In the meantime he was successively promoted to parishes of more and more importance till he became rector of Wolverhampton and prebendary of the collegiate church. In 1846 the lord chancellor gave him an easier and more lucrative living. He died in 1866 at the age of eighty four.

Beginning in 1811 Oliver was a diligent student of and a prolific writer upon antiquities, particularly ecclesiastical antiquities and his writings soon brought him a high reputation as an antiquary. It is worth while to give a list of the more important of these books since taken in connection with the long list of his Masonic writings it will afford some idea of his diligence and activity. I give only those which have been considered the more important.

1. History and Antiquities of the Collegiate Church of Beverley. 2. History and Antiquities of the Collegiate Church of Wolverhampton. 3. History of the Conventual Church of Grimsby. 4. Monumental Antiquities of Grimsby. 5. History of the Guild of the Holy Trinity, Sleaford. 6. Druidical Remains near Lincoln. 7. Guide to the Druidical Temple at Nottingham. 8. Remains of the Ancient Britons between Lincoln and Sleaford.

To these must be added a great mass of papers and notes on antiquarian matters published between 1811 and 1866. And be it remembered the author was, while most of these were writing, a teacher studying during his leisure hours in preparation for orders and later for his degree and when the remainder were written was rector of an important parish, a magistrate, a surrogate for the bishopric of Lincoln and a steward of the clerical fund for his diocese. This sounds like one man's work and a good measure at that. To it, however, we have to add a Masonic literary career even more fruitful and more enduring in its results.

Oliver was made a Mason at the age of nineteen. This statement, startling to the modern Masonic ear, requires explanation. As Masonic usage then stood a "lewis," that is the son of a Mason, might be initiated by dispensation before he came of age. The privileges of a lewis have never been defined clearly. He was supposed to have a right of initiation in precedence over all other candidates. Also in England and France he was supposed to have the right to be initiated at an earlier age, namely eighteen. The constitutions are silent on this point but the traditional custom was to grant a dispensation in the case of a lewis after that age. It is hard to say how far this usage has ever obtained in America. At present it is not recognized. But there is evidence that it obtained in the eighteenth century as, for example, in the case of George Washington who was initiated at the age of twenty. At any rate Oliver became a Mason in this way at the age of nineteen being initiated by his father in St. Peters Lodge at Peterborough in 1801.

Oliver's father was a zealous and well-informed Mason and a ritualist of the literal school, that is of the type who regard literal expertness in ritual as the unum necessarium in Masonry. Accordingly Oliver was thoroughly trained on this side--which indeed is indispensable not only to Masonic advancement but, I suspect, to Masonic scholarship--and as a result of his thorough knowledge of the work and his tireless activity his rise in the Craft was rapid.

In 1809 Oliver established a lodge at Grimsby where he was the master of the grammar school and chiefly by his

exertions the lodge became strong and prosperous. He was master of that lodge fourteen years. Thence successively he became Provincial Grand Steward (1813); Grand Chaplain (1816); and Deputy Grand Master of Lincolnshire (1832). The latter office he held for eight years. It should be remembered that the post of Provincial Grand Master was reserved in England for the nobility. It is interesting to know in passing that the Grand Lodge of Massachusetts gave him the honorary title of Past Deputy Grand Master.

The list of Oliver's Masonic writings is very long. He is the most prolific of Masonic authors and on the whole has had the widest influence. He began by publishing a number of Masonic sermons but presently as one may suspect by way of revolt from the mechanical ritualistic Masonry to which, as it were, he had been bred he turned his attention to the history and subsequently to the philosophy of the Craft.

His first historical work is the well-known "Antiquities of Free Masonry: comprising illustrations of the five grand periods of Masonry from the creation of the world to the dedication of King Solomon's temple." This was published in 1823.

Then followed in order:

2. The Star in the East, his first philosophical work, designed to show the relation of Masonry to religion.
3. Signs and Symbols, an exposition of the history and significance of all the Masonic symbols then recognized.
4. History of Initiation, twelve lectures on the ancient mysteries in which Oliver sought to trace Masonic initiation and ancient systems of initiation to a common origin; a matter with respect to which recent anthropological and sociological studies of primitive secret societies indicate that he may have hit the truth much more nearly than we had been supposing of late.
5. The Theocratic Philosophy of Masonry, a further development of his ideas as to the relation of Masonry to religion.

6. A History of Free Masonry from 1829 to 1840, intended as an appendix to Preston's Illustrations of Masonry which he had edited in 1829.

7. Historical Landmarks and Other Evidences of Masonry Explained, by far his greatest work, a monument of wide reading and laborous research.

8. Revelations of a Square, a bit of Masonic fiction.

9. The Golden Remains of the Early Masonic Writers, an elaborate compilation in five volumes.

10. The Symbol of Glory, his best discussion of the object and purpose of Masonry.

11. A Mirror for the Johannite Masons, in which he discusses the dedication of lodges and the two Sts. John.

12. The Origin and Insignia of the Royal Arch Degree.

13. A Dictionary of Symbolic Masonry, the first of a long line of such dictionaries.

14. Institutes of Masonic Jurisprudence.

He also published a "Book of the Lodge," a sort of ritualistic manual similar to the monitors or manuals so well known today. Likewise he was a constant contributor to English and even to American Masonic periodicals.

Probably no one not by profession a writer can show such a list, bearing in mind how many of the foregoing are books of the first order in their class.

Unhappily Oliver's views of Masonic law were not in accord with those which prevailed in England in 1840. In consequence when in that year Dr. Crucefix, one of the most distinguished of nineteenth-century English Masons, was suspended by the Grand Lodge and retired from Masonic activity Oliver also incurred the displeasure of the authorities by claiming the right, though a Provincial Deputy Grand Master, to take part in a public demonstration in honor of Crucefix in which a large number of prominent Masons joined. This led to his

losing his office by the action of the Provincial Grand Master and to his withdrawing from active connection with the Craft. But English Masons soon came to see the soundness of Oliver's views as to the independence which Masonry must allow to the individual in his belief and opinion as to what is Masonic law. Accordingly four years later nearly all the Masons in the kingdom joined in subscribing for a presentation of plate to Oliver in recognition of his great services to the Craft. But justice was not done to Oliver as it was to Preston possibly because Oliver was not the type of man to urge it for himself as Preston would have done. In consequence Oliver was out of touch with active Masonic work for the last twenty two years of his life. That this was in no way due to improper obstinacy on his part is, I think, manifest from merely looking at his portrait--which radiates benevolence and amiability. Moreover all accounts of his personality agree with the impression one gets from the portrait. All accounts bear witness to his loveliness, his geniality, his charitableness and his readiness to oblige. All who have written of him testify that he was in the highest degree unassuming, unaffected and easy of approach. That such men as Krause and Oliver should suffer from the jealousies which greater knowledge seems to engender in those who regard ability to recite the ritual with microscopic fidelity as the sum of Masonry is not wholly to be wondered at. The breadth which such knowledge inevitably brings about threatens the very foundations of the literalism which the strongest men in our lodges have been taught or have taught themselves is the essence of the institution. But it is strange and is an unhappy commentary upon human nature that the arrogant, ambitious Preston could at length obtain justice which was denied to Krause and to Oliver.

Summing up Oliver's personality, everything confirms the impression which one derives from the portrait. He was a warm-hearted man, of zealous antiquarian enthusiasm, of deep faith and of thoroughgoing religious convictions. We must remember each of these traits when we come to consider his philosophy of Masonry. So much for the man.

Now for the time.

The dominant philosophy everywhere when Oliver wrote was what is known as romanticism. In England, which at this period was still primarily taken up with religious rather than with philosophical or scientific questions, romanticism was especially strong. Thinkers of the generation after Kant objected to his critical philosophy on the ground that it lacked vitality. They asserted that the living unity of the spirit was violated by his analyzing and distinguishings. They pointed to religious faith on the one hand and to artistic conception and creation on the other hand as methods which unlike the critical philosophy did full justice to life. In other words the age of reason in which Preston wrought and wrote was over and for a season at least men ceased to expect all things of reason, intellect and knowledge and began to expect all things of what they called spirit. The younger thinkers especially were filled with enthusiasm at this idea of deducing all things from spirit and did not see that they were simply seeking for a new philosopher's stone. They expected through the idea of the spirit to establish a complete unity of all things, to break down the existing separation between science, religion and art and to reconcile all discords. Such an idea of knowledge rightly may be called romantic. It stands before us sublime and distant. It rouses our enthusiasm or our zeal to achieve it, and influences us by its exaltation rather than by any prospect which it affords us of clear and sober realization. That a whole generation should have been content to put its ideal of knowledge in this form seems difficult to explain even by reaction from the over-rationalism of the preceding century. Probably the general upheaval brought about by the French Revolution must be taken into account and the golden age of poetry which accompanied this philosophical movement must not be overlooked. Indeed the connection between the romantic philosophers, the romantic poets and the romantic musicians is very close. It is not an accident that what I may fairly call romantic Masonry appears at the same time. This will be manifest especially when I come to speak of Oliver's views as to the relation of Masonry to religion.

One of the most representative of the German romantic philosophers argued that all separation between poetry,

philosophy and religion was superficial and arbitrary. He argued that while the poet regards philosophy as an expounding of the poetry of life which is to be found in all things, the philosopher regards poetry as a pictorial form, perceived intuitively, of the thought which moves in all things. But, he said, religion is a phase of the same quest for unity. Let me quote his words since they bear strongly upon Oliver's views: "If it is allowed that the task of thought is to show us the unity of all things, can philosophical endeavor differ in its essence from the religious yearning which likewise seeks to transcend the oppositions and unrest of life ?"

This romantic philosophy came into England chiefly through the poet Samuel Taylor Coleridge (1772-1834) who wrote while Oliver's chief literary activities were in progress and died about six years before the most important and significant of Oliver's writings. The relation of the one to the other is so clear that a moment's digression as to Coleridge is necessary.

In his youth Coleridge tells us he had been a disciple of the eighteenth-century rationalists. But he was repelled by the attempt, so characteristic of the eighteenth century, to reduce mental phenomena to elementary functions by means of analysis and to discover mechanical laws for all consciousness. If this could be done, he said, it would destroy the unity and activity of the mind. At this time he came in contact with the German romantic philosophy and turned in the new direction. Indeed he was a romanticist by nature. He revelled, it has been said, in ideas of the absolute in which the differences and oppositions of the finite world blended and disappeared. He was a poet and a preacher rather than a thinker and rarely got beyond intuition and prophecy. Hence there is more than a little truth in the saying of one of his critics that he led his generation through moonshine to orthodoxy and to a more pronounced orthodoxy than had formerly obtained. It is said that the Anglo-Catholic or Puseyite movement of the nineteenth century, which carried Newman and so many other English scholars into the church of Rome, was a result of Coleridge's ideas.

What, then, were the characteristics of the philosophy of the time and place in which Oliver wrote ?

1. Speculation and imagination were the chief organs of thought. The poetic passed for the only real. Enthusiasm passed for scholarship.
2. Reason abdicated for a season. Conviction, intuition and faith were regarded as justifying themselves.
3. In the same way tradition became something which justified itself. This is seen particularly in the so-called Oxford movement and the Catholic reaction in England. It is seen also in the position of the time as to the English constitution which Dickens has satirized in the person of Mr. Podsnap.
4. Reconciliation of Christianity with philosophy became a recognized problem. For example, Coleridge took this for his chief work.

All of these features may be seen in Oliver's Masonic writings. The defects of his historical writing, for example, which have utterly debased popular Masonic history are the defects of a romanticist. A warm imagination and speculative enthusiasm carried him away. In common with his philosophical teachers he had thrown off the critical method and had lost the faculty of discriminating accurately between what had been and what he would like to believe had been. On the other hand, in Masonic philosophy, where pure speculation was allowable, these qualities had a certain value. Mill says of Coleridge that his was one of the great seminal minds of his time. In the same way Oliver more than anyone else set men to thinking upon the problems of Masonic philosophy. His style is agreeable. He is always easy to read and often entertaining. A multitude of readers, who would be repelled by Krause's learned but difficult pages, have rejoiced in Oliver. Hence he has given a form and direction to Masonic speculation which still persist.

Turning to Oliver's philosophy of Masonry three important points may be noted: 1. His theory of the relation of Masonry to religion; 2. His theory of Masonry as a tradition coming down to us from a pure state prior to the

flood; 3. His theory of the essentially Christian nature of our institution.

Let me take these up in order.

1. It has been said that reconciliation of knowledge with religion and unifying of religion with all other human activities was a favorite undertaking of the romantic philosophy. It was natural, therefore that a clergyman should be attracted to this type of thought and that a zealous churchman and enthusiastic Mason who had learned from Preston, whose book he edited, that Masonry was knowledge, should convert the problem into one of relating Masonry to religion and of reconciling them. Oliver's mode of doing this was highly ingenious. Religion and Masonry, he would say, are identical in their end and they are identical in their end with knowledge. Each is a manifestation of the spirit, the absolute, that is of God. God, he would say, is manifest to us, first, by revelation and thus manifest we know Him and know ourselves and know the universe through religion. Second, He is manifest to us by tradition, and in this way we know Him and know ourselves and know the universe through Masonry. Third, He is manifest to us through reason, and in this way we know Him and know ourselves and know the universe through knowledge or, as we have come to call it, science. In common with the romanticists he sought to throw the entire content of life into one interconnected whole; and this he found in God or in the absolute. Accordingly to him Masonry was one mode of approach to God, the other two being religion and science. If Krause's triad was law, religion, morals, given effect by state, church, Masonry, Oliver's is revelation, tradition, reason, expounded, handed down, developed and interpreted by religion, Masonry and science.

2. Oliver's theory of Masonry as a system of tradition seems to have been derived from Hutchinson. The latter deserves a moment's digression.

William Hutchinson (1732-1814), an English lawyer, is perhaps the earliest Masonic philosopher. In 1774 by permission of the Grand Lodge, which then insisted upon a right to censor all Masonic writing, Hutchinson

published his chief Masonic work entitled "The Spirit of Masonry." Oliver himself has said that this book was "the first efficient attempt to explain in a rational and scientific manner the true philosophy of the order." Hutchinson's doctrine was that the lost word was symbolical of lost religious purity due to corruptions of the Jewish faith. He held that the master's degree symbolized the new law of Christ taking the place of the old law of Judaism which had become dead and corrupt. By a bit of fanciful etymology he derived Hiram (Hiram) from the Greek heuramen (we have found it) and Acacia from the Greek alpha privative and Kakia (evil)--Akakia, freedom from evil, or freedom from sin. Thus, he says, the Master Mason "represents a man under the Christian doctrine saved from the grave of iniquity and raised to the faith of salvation." Hutchinson influenced Hemming, who wrote the lectures of the Ancients and a trace of this influence may be seen in America in the interpretation of the blazing star in our lectures.

Clearly enough Oliver got his cue from Hutchinson. But Hutchinson had identified religion and Masonry. This Oliver, as a clergyman of the established church, could not allow. Instead Oliver sought to unify them, that is while keeping them distinct to make them phases of a higher unity, to make them expressions of what is ultimately, though not immediately, one. This he did as has been seen by regarding each as a mode of approach to God. That conception led to his theory of Masonry as a body of tradition.

Briefly stated Oliver's theory is this. He held that Masonry was to be found as a body of tradition in the earliest periods of history as recorded in Scripture. This tradition according to his enthusiastic speculations was taught by Seth to his descendants and was practiced by them as a pure or primitive Masonry before the flood. Thus it passed over to Noah and his descendants and at the dispersion of mankind was divided into pure Masonry and spurious Masonry. The pure Masonry passed through the patriarchs to Solomon and thence to the present institution. On the other hand, the pure tradition was corrupted among the pagans and took the form of the mysteries and initiatory rites of antiquity. Accordingly,

he held, we have in Masonry a traditional science of morality veiled in allegory and illustrated by symbols.

3. Again taking his cue from Hutchinson, though the old charges to be true to holy church gave him some warrant--Oliver insisted that Masonry was strictly a Christian institution. He believed of course that Christianity was foretold and in a way revealed in the Old Testament and that the doctrine of the Trinity, for example, was clearly expounded therein. In the same way he held that the earliest of Masonic symbols also taught the doctrine of the Trinity and that the Masonic references to the Grand Architect of the Universe were references to Christ. Indeed in his system this was necessary. For if religion, which to him could mean only the Christian religion, and Masonry were to be unified it must be as setting before us different manifestations of the same God. There could be but one God and that triune God, the God of his religion, he held was made known to us by revelation, by tradition and by reason. Thus Oliver's interpretation of revelation determined his interpretation of the other two. If we bear this in mind we may accept his general philosophy without accepting this particular doctrine. For it needs only to postulate a more universal and more general religion than he professed, a religion above sects, creeds and dogmas to hold that such a religion along with Masonry and along with reason leads to God. Moreover Hindu and Mahomedan may each put his own interpretation on revelation and join in believing in these three modes of knowing the absolute. Mackey reproaches Oliver for narrowness and sectarianism. But the possibilities of his Masonic philosophy are as broad as could be desired. It was too soon in 1840 to ask a clergyman to go further in its application than he went.

What then are Oliver's answers to the three fundamental questions of Masonic philosophy?

1. What is the end of Masonry, for what does the institution exist? Oliver would answer, it is one in its end with religion and with science. Each of these are means through which we are brought into relation with the absolute. They are the means through which we know God and his works.

2. How does Masonry seek to achieve its end? Oliver would answer by preserving, handing down and interpreting a tradition of immemorial antiquity, a pure tradition from the childhood of the race.

3. What are the fundamental principles by which Masonry is governed in achieving its task? Oliver would say, the fundamental principles of Masonry are essentially the principles of religion as the basic principles of the moral world. But in Masonry they appear in a traditional form. Thus, for example, toleration in Masonry is a form of what in religion we call charity; universality in Masonry is a traditional form of what in religion we call love of one's neighbor.

As has been said, Krause's was a philosophy of Masonry in its relation to law and government. Preston's was a philosophy of Masonry in its relation to knowledge. Oliver's is a philosophy of Masonry in its relation to religion. Neither of the others has had a tithe of the influence which Oliver's philosophy has exerted upon Masonic thought. And on the whole his influence has been valuable and stimulating. A critic has said that "all he had to give was transcendental moonshine which shed a new light on old things for many a young doubter and seeker, but which contained no new life." In a sense this is so. Oliver's Masonic philosophy is an obvious product of a clergyman in the age of the romantic philosophy who had read and reflected upon Hutchinson. And yet it is not true that there is no new life in Oliver. Except for Krause nothing so well worth while has been pointed out for Masonry as the end which Oliver found for us. I cannot but feel that it is a great misfortune that his philosophy is being peddled out to a new generation in grandiloquent fragments through Grand Lodge orations and articles in the Masonic press instead of being apprehended as a whole.

----o----

## **NAPOLEON**

Here was an experiment, under the most favourable circumstances. of the powers of the intellect without conscience. He did all that in him lay, to live and thrive

without moral principle. It was the nature of things, the eternal law of man and the world, which balked and ruined him; and the result in a million experiments would be the same.

- Emerson

----o----

## **THE SACRAMENT.**

"The Holy Supper is kept, indeed,

In whatso we share with another's need;

Not what we give, but what we share,

For the gift without the giver is bare;

Who bestows himself with his alms feeds three--

Himself, his hungering brother, and Me."

--J. R. Lowell. The Vision of Sir Launfall

## **ERNST AND FALK**

TRANSLATED FROM THE GERMAN OF G.E. LESSING  
(1778) BY LOUIS BLOCK,

PAST GRAND MASTER OF MASONS IN IOWA

[Truly, Lessing was a prophet. Almost it would seem that this second dialogue was written yesterday, or this morning, so pertinent is it to the present situation among nations, and so eloquent of the mission of Masonry. With what crystal clear insight that noble German saw what Masonry means to humanity, how it offers the only basis upon which men of all races and faiths may meet and live amicably together; and how by its very genius it becomes, as the Old Charge in the Book of Constitutions said, "the Centre of Union and the Means of conciliating true Friendship among persons"- -and, equally, among

nations-- "that must have remained at a perpetual distance." Also, straight as a line of light, his vision goes to the truth that the basis of human society and the state is spiritual, and that, at bottom, all issues are religious issues. Hence the wisdom of the Old Charges--and most of all the great Charge of the Grand Lodge of England-- concerning "God and Religion," and their emphasis upon "that Religion in which All Men Agree." Hear now the simple wisdom of a great Mason who, over a century ago, lived and wrote so unselfishly, not for the glory or profit of one race, but for the good of human beings wheresoever dispersed throughout the earth.--The Editor.]

Second Discourse.

Ernst--Well, where have you been? And still you have not the butterfly ?

Falk--It lured me from bough to bough as far as the stream--all at once it was on the other side.

E.--Yes, yes. There are such charmers.

F.--Have you thought it over ?

E.--Thought what over? Your puzzle? Nor shall I catch it, that pretty butterfly! And it shall give me no further concern. Once to talk about Free Masonry with you, but never again! For I see well you are like them all.

F.--How like them all ? They do not all say this.

E.--Don't they? Then there must surely be heretics among the Free Masons ? And you are one of these? Still all heretics have something in common with the Orthodox. And that is what I was talking about.

F.--About what were you talking?

E.--Orthodox or heretical Free Masons--they all play with words, let themselves be questioned and answer without answering.

F.--Think so? Now then, let us talk about something else!  
For once you have torn me out of a cozy condition of  
dumb astonishment--

E.--Nothing is easier than to put you back into that  
condition. Just let yourself down here by me and look !

F.--Now what?

E.--The life and the moving to and fro and round about  
these ant-hills. What industry and yet what order! Every  
one carries and pulls and shoves and no one hinders  
another. Just see! They even help one another.

F.--The ants live in society like the bees.

E.--And in a still more wonderful society than that of the  
bees. For they have no one among them who holds them  
together and rules them.

F.--Therefore it must be true that order can exist without  
government.

E.--When each one knows how to rule himself, why not ?

F.--Will it ever come to that among men ?

E.--Very hardly !

F.--Too bad !

E.--Assuredly !

F.--Get up and let us be going ! For they will be crawling  
all over you, these ants, and just now there occurred to  
me what I must ask you at this time. I have no idea at all  
what you think about it.

E.--About what?

F.--About the civil society of men. How do you look at it ?

E.--As something very good.

F.--Incontestably. But do you take it as an end or as a  
means?

E.--But I don't understand you.

F.--Do you believe that men were created for the state, or that the state was created for men ?

E.--Some appear inclined to assert the former. The latter, however, may be nearer the truth.

F.--That is what I think, too. The state unites men, so that through this union, and in this union, each single man may enjoy all the better and more surely his share of happiness. The sum total of the joy of each member is the happiness of the state. Aside from this there is no happiness. Any other good fortune of the state for which even a few members suffer and must suffer is merely the cloak of tyranny. Nothing else !

E.--I wouldn't want to say that out so loud.

F.--Why not ?

E.--A truth that each one decides according to his own condition can easily be misused.

F.--Do you know, Friend, that you are already half a Free Mason?

E.--I ?

F.--You. For you declare truths that one had better keep to himself.

E.--But what he still might tell.

F.--The wise man cannot tell that which he had better keep back.

E.--Well, as you will Let us not get upon the Free Masons. I don't want to know anything more about them.

F.--Forgive me! You see at least my readiness to tell you more about them.

E.--You are joking--Good! The civil life of man and all state constitutions are nothing but means for human happiness. What then?

F.--Nothing but means! and means discovered by man; although I will not deny that nature has everything so arranged that man must very soon stumble upon these discoveries.

E.--This then brought it about that some considered human society to be nature's end. For all our desires and all of our deeds, even to the last one, lead along the road that nature travels. So they decided. As if nature would not bring forth the proper means! As if nature had more in view happiness as an abstract conception--such as the state, the fatherland, and the like--than the real happiness of each single being.

F.--Very good! You are coming to meet me along the right road. Now then, tell me if the state constitutions are expedients of human origin, shall they alone escape the fate of all other human expedients?

E.--What do you mean by the fate of human expedients?

F.--That which is inseparably bound up with all human expedients, and which distinguishes these from divine and infallible instruments.

E.--And what is that?

F.--That they are not infallible. That they not alone often do not accomplish their purpose but even bring to pass the very contrary result.

E.--An example! if one occurs to you.

F.--Ships and sea-voyages are means for reaching far lying lands, and at the same time become the reasons why many men never arrive there.

E.--For instance, those who suffer ship-wreck and are drowned. Now I think I understand you. But we know very well why so many single men fail to achieve their happiness through a state constitution. State constitutions are many; one is therefore better than the others; another is very faulty, contending openly with its own objects and the best is perhaps yet to be discovered.

F.--Undoubtedly! Suppose the best constitution that can be conceived of to have been established; suppose all the men in the whole world to have adopted this constitution; do you not think that even then that out of this very best constitution itself there must still arise things highly hurtful to human happiness and of which man in his natural state unfortunately knew nothing?

E.--I should think that if such things could arise out of the best constitution that then it would be the best constitution.

F.--And that a better one would still be possible? Well, then, I will take this better one for the best and repeat my former question.

E.--You seem to have been striving from the very outset to make clear the accepted conclusion that every human expedient such as you declare a state constitution to be, can be nothing else than faulty.

F.--Just that.

E.--And it would be hard for you to name one of those hurtful things that--

F.--That must of necessity arise from the best state constitution? Oh, ten instead of one!

E.--Just one, first!

F.--Well then, we will suppose the best constitution to have been discovered; we will suppose all men in the world to be living under this constitution would all of the men in the world for this reason constitute but one state?

E.--Hardly. Such an enormous state would be incapable of administration. It would therefore have to be divided into several smaller states which would all be governed by the same laws.

F.--That is, men would even then continue to be called Germans and Frenchmen, Hollanders and Spaniards, Russians and Swedes.

E.--Most surely !

F.--Now there you have it already, for then it would be true, would it not, that each of the smaller states would have its own peculiar interests and that each citizen would have at heart the interests of his own particular state?

E.--How could it be otherwise ?

F.--These different interests would often come into collision, just as they do now, and two men of different states would be just as little able to approach each other with unprejudiced minds, as is today a German a Frenchman, or a Frenchman an Englishman.

E.--Very likely.

F.--So that, now when a German meets a Frenchman, a Frenchman an Englishman, or the reverse, it is no longer simply a case of the meeting of two men who on account of their like natures are drawn to one another, but it is a case of the meeting of two particular kinds of men, who are conscious of one another's differing tendencies, which in turn makes them cold, reserved, and suspicious toward each other, even before they have had the slightest thing to do, or to share, with one another.

E.--That is unfortunately true.

F.--Now it is also true that the very thing which unites men in order to insure their happiness by means of such a union, at the same time divides them.

E.--Yes, if you understand it that way.

F.--Take a step further! Many of the smaller states would have widely varying climates, consequently widely differing needs and desires, widely varying habits and customs, greatly differing moral standards and consequently very dissimilar religions. Don't you think so?

E.--That is a tremendous step !

F.--Men would none the less still be Jews, Christians and Turks, and the like.

E.--That is something I dare not deny.

F.--If they were that, then they would also conduct themselves toward one another in a way no different from that in which our Jews and Christians and Turks have always treated one another. Not as mere men toward mere men, but as one class of men toward another class, who are contending for certain spiritual preferences, and founding principles upon these that would never have occurred to the natural man.

E.--That is very unfortunately very probable also.

F.--Only probable?

E.--For I thought, as you have assumed, that as all states could have one constitution, so they could also all have the same religion. Yet now I cannot see how it can be possible for them to have the same constitution without having the same religion.

F.--Neither can I. I simply assumed that, in order to cut you off in your flight. One is as absolutely impossible as the other. One state, several states; several states, several constitutions; several constitutions, several religions.

E.--Yes, yes, so it seems.

F.--So it is. Now note the second evil which civil society, wholly contrary to its intention, brings forth. It cannot unite men without dividing them, cannot divide them without establishing chasms between them and running dividing walls through them.

E.--And how terrible these chasms are! How insurmountable are often these barrier-walls !

F.--Let me add still a third. It is not enough that civil society should divide and separate men into different peoples and religions--a division into a few great parts, each one of which would be an entity in itself, would

always be better than no entity at all--but, no! civil society must continue its separating process even in each one of these parts and so on to infinity.

E.--How is that?

F.--Or, do you think that a state can be conceived of without differences in rank or station ? Be it good or bad, nearer or farther from perfection, it would still be impossible that each member of it should bear the same relation to the others. Even if they all had a share in the making of the laws, still each could not have the same share, at least not the same direct share. There would therefore be superior and inferior members. If at the outset all of the possessions of the state could be equally divided between them such an equal division would not survive two generations; one would know better than another how to use his property, and at the same time he might be compelled to divide his more poorly managed possessions among a larger number of descendants than would the other. There would therefore be richer and poorer members.

E.--That is clear.

F.--Now consider how much suffering there is in the world that arises out of this very difference in rank and station!

E.--How I wish I could still dispute that! But what reason would I have for contradicting you? Well, now, men are only to be united through separation, only to be held in union by never ceasing division. Now that is even so. It can not be otherwise.

F.--That is just what I have been saying.

E.--Well then, what are you trying to do, to disgust me with civilized life, make me wish that the thought of uniting themselves into nations had never occurred to men?

F.--Do you understand me so badly? If civilized society had but the one good that in it alone human reason could

be developed, it would still bless us in spite of far greater evils.

E.--He who would enjoy the fire, says the proverb, must endure the smoke.

F.--By all means! But because with fire smoke is unavoidable, dare we for that reason, invent no chimneys ? And he who invented the chimney, was he for that reason an enemy of the fire ? See, that is what I am after.

E.--After what? I don't understand you.

F.--The comparison was still very fitting. If man can be united in nations only through such divisions, are they for that reason good, these divisions ?

E.--Certainly not.

F.--Are they then sacred--these things that divide ?

E.--How sacred ?

F.--So that it is forbidden to lay hand upon them ?

E.--For the purpose of--?

F.--For the purpose of not letting them become any greater than necessity demands. For the purpose of rendering their results as harmless as possible.

E.--How could that be forbidden ?

F.--But it also cannot be commanded, commanded by civil laws--for civil laws never reach beyond the confines of a state. And this is the very thing that lies beyond all boundaries and all states. Consequently it can only be an *opus supererogatum* and it is only to be desired that the wisest and best in each state would voluntarily undertake these *operi supererogato*.

E.--Not only to be desired, but very greatly to be desired.

F.--I thought so ! Very greatly is it to be desired that in every state there should be men superior to the judgment

of the populace who know exactly just when patriotism ceases to be a virtue.

E.--Very greatly to be desired !

F.--Very greatly is it to be desired that there should be men who do not submit to the dictates of the religion in which they were born, and who do not believe that everything which they look upon as good and true must therefore be good and true.

E.--Very greatly to be desired!

F.--Very greatly is it to be desired that in every state there should be men whom civic pomp does not blind and civic paltriness does not disgust, and in whose society the lofty gladly unbend and the lowly boldly lift their heads.

E.--Very greatly to be desired !

F.--And if it should be fulfilled, this desire ?

E.--Fulfilled ? Of course here and there now and then you will find such a man.

F.--Not only here and there, not only now and then.

E.--At certain times, in certain lands perhaps a few more.

F.--What if there were even now such men everywhere, and must at all times be such men ?

E.--God willing !

F.--And what if these men did not live in a state of barren distraction, nor always in an unseen church ?

E.--Beautiful dream !

F.--To make it short--and these men should be the Freemasons ?

E.--What's that you say ?

F.--What if it should be the Freemasons, who as a part of their work were endeavoring to close up, as far as possible, these gulfs by which men were kept strangers to one another?

E.--The Freemasons?

F.--I said, as a part of their work.

E.--The Freemasons?

F.--Oh, forgive me! I had again forgotten that you didn't want to hear anything more about the Freemasons. They, are just now beckoning us to breakfast. Come along.

E.--Not yet! Just a moment! The Freemasons, you say--

F.--The conversation brought me back to them against my will. Forgive me! Come on! There in the larger circle we will no doubt find matter for a more profitable conversation. Come on.

## **“SOLEMN STRIKES THE FUNERAL CHIME”**

### **AN EXPOSITION - BY THE EDITOR**

HOW many tender memories these old familiar words evoke in the mind of a Mason. Often in the open lodge - alas, all too often beside the open grave - he has heard them march with slow, majestic step to the measure of the Pleyel Hymn. Never were words and melody more fitly blended, and they induce a mood pensive indeed, but not plaintive, rich in pathos without being poignant - a mood of sweet sadness caught at that point where it stops short of bitter, piercing grief. Yet few know when it was written and by whom, though many must have paused to muse over the faith of which it sings.

The hymn was written by David Vinton, a lecturer on Masonry and teacher of the ritual in the first quarter of the last century, whose field of labor was in the South, chiefly

in North Carolina. Unfortunately, his path through life was dogged by the demon of drink, which left stains upon his character for which he was expelled by a Lodge in North Carolina. He died, so Mackey records, in Shakertown, Kentucky, in July, 1833, but Morris dates his death six years earlier and says that it occurred near Russellville, Ky. Morris adds this pathetic fact: "Nor were his own most beautiful words sung over his grave, on account of lapse from a life of sobriety."

In 1816 Vinton issued a volume entitled "The Masonic Minstrel, a Selection of Masonic, Sentimental, and Amorous Songs. Duets, Glees, Canons, Rounds and Canzonets, Respectfully Dedicated to the Most Ancient and Honorable Fraternity of Free and Accepted Masons," with an appendix containing a short historical sketch of Masonry and a list of all the Lodges in the United States. It was printed for the author by H. Mann and Company, Dedham, Mass., and more than twelve thousand copies were sold to the Craft. This volume contained his funeral dirge set to the melody of the Pleyel hymn. As Mackey remarks, "This contribution should preserve the name of Vinton among the Craft, and in some measure atone for his faults, whatever they may have been."

From the preface of the Minstrel we learn that Vinton was appointed by Mount Vernon Lodge, in Providence, to procure a book of songs for use in the Lodge, and this suggested the book to his mind, the more so when he was unable to find any book to meet the need. This quaint volume, yellow with age, and alternating quickly from grave to gay, from lively to severe, tempts comment, did time permit; but our concern here is only with his dirge. Originally it had eight stanzas, only four of which are used in our ritual and burial service, and Vinton little thought that his lines would be sung for a decade, then laid aside, then taken up again and sung wherever a Brother Mason is laid to rest, "in the land called America."

II

Whether we hear this hymn in the tiled recesses of the Lodge, or on a green sward out under the sky, our hearts answer to its appeal. Albeit in less stately strain and more tender tone, it strikes the same note that sounds through

the 90th Psalm - that mighty funeral hymn of the human race - with its chant of the swift death of mourning flowers, of the vanishing of man, and the hush of profound sleep to which all things mortal decline. How helpless man is, pursued by Time and overtaken by Death - his life a vapor that melts, his span of years a tale that is soon told. There is here that nameless sorrow, that unutterable sadness which lingers in all mortal music whatsoever, and will linger in it while yet we walk in the dim country of this world where Death seems to divide divinity with God. Evermore, in hours however trivial or tragic, in moods pensive or gay-

"Solemn strikes the funeral chime,

Notes of our departing time;

As we journey here below,

Through a pilgrimage of woe."

Touched by the twilights of time, the singer meditates and prays. He sees that the vast machinery of Nature carries forward the entire human race, and, without fail, drops them into one final sleep. Yet each departs alone - the father without the child, the wife without the husband, the judge without the court, the statesman unattended, the babe with no arm around it, aye, and king and peasant alike; and all walk one dark, inevitable path. In what silence and dignity they go, their faces all turned in one direction, following the footprints of a many-millioned multitude into the infinite. We who are compelled to watch their moving figures are powerless to detain them, and can only say farewell and then weep.

"Mortals now indulge a tear,

For mortality is here;

See how wide her trophies wave,

O'er the slumbers of the grave."

With all our philosophy and wit, death remains a bitter, old, and haggard fact which no man may either evade or

avert. There is something appalling in the masterful negation and collapse of the body. It is profound. It is pathetic. Words are futile, and there is in that last silence what makes them seem foolish. What avails it what any man may have to say about death ? The real question is, what are we to say to it, whether or not we shall let it have the last word.

"Not all the preaching since Adam

Has made Death other than Death."

Heart and flesh fail; and the generations come and go, following the forlorn march of dust. Truly, as for man, his days are as grass; as a flower of the field, so he flourisheth; for the wind passeth over it, and it is gone.

III

Suddenly the shadow lifts, light shineth in darkness, and we see how true it is that the soul of man is the one unconquerable thing upon this earth. How wonderful is this ancient, high, heroic faith which refuses to admit that the grave is the gigantic coffin lid of a dull and mindless universe descending upon it at last. Life tries it, sorrow beshadows it, sin stains it, and yet it is victorious. When doubt deepens this faith becomes more profound, and out of the blackest tragedy it rises with a song of triumph. So it has been from the far time when the oldest book in the world was written, and so it will be until whatever is to be the end of things.

"Here, another guest we bring;

Seraphs of celestial wing,

To our funeral altar come;

Waft a friend and brother home."

Such faith is not a mere surrender; it is a force prophetic of its own fulfillment. At its touch the graveyard becomes a cemetery - that is, a sleeping chamber - and dark Death an All Man's Inn where a fellow pilgrim takes lodging for a night. Those whom we call the dead are the guests of

God, whose love is the keeper of unknown revelations.  
Also, our singer sees that the social life of man, its warmth  
of sympathy, its sanctity of friendship, its dear love of man  
for his comrade, has enduring value. Because this is so;  
because life is brief at its longest, and broken at its best, it  
must be filled with Truth and Love; that so we may bring to  
the Gate in the Mist something too noble to die. Hence the  
wise prayer:

"Lord of all below, above,

Fill our souls with Truth and Love;

As dissolves our Earthly Tie,

Take us to Thy Lodge on High."

O Death, where is thy victory? Our trust is in God, that He  
who made us what we are will lead us to what we ought  
to be. Higher faith there is none. Even so, Masonry rests  
its hope upon the ultimate Reality, the first truth and the  
last, and it is therefore that its singer sees, amidst the  
fluctuating shadows of this twilight world, an august,  
incomprehensible destiny for man. As a song of triumph  
the four stanzas omitted from this historic hymn are  
worthy of remembrance:

"For beyond the grave there lie

Brighter mansions in the sky!

Where, enthroned, the Diety

Gives man immortality.

There, enlarged, his soul will see

What was veiled in mystery;

Heavenly glories fill the place,

Show his Maker face to face.

God of life's eternal day!  
Guide us, lest from Thee we stray,  
By a false, delusive light,  
To the shades of endless night.

Calm, the good man meets his fate,  
Guards celestial round him wait;  
See! he bursts these mortal chains,  
And o'er death the victory gains."

## **MASONRY AT WORK**

[Beautiful is the Spirit of Masonry, and tireless in its labors. It never sleeps and it takes no vacations. If by some celestial art one could trace its influence, and make record of its gracious ministries, what a testimony it would be. But that Spirit is as modest and shy as it is unwearied in its served, using grips and signs the better to hide its charities. Nevertheless, in times of trial and crisis it is dramatically revealed, and men see, for a brief time, what it means. Therefore ye editor proposes to have a regular department in this journal wherein to make record of notable instances of Masonry at work, and he invites his readers to assist by furnishing examples, such as the one given below - instances of relief, of rescue, of brotherly service and sacrifice in peace and in war - if so the "human touch" may be felt in the midst of our studies of philosophy, history, and symbol.]

Here follows a brief digest of facts of which elaborate record may be read in the Proceedings of the Grand Lodge of Michigan. While out hunting in Nov., 1909, Brother Harry Brownell disappeared, and as it was feared that some fatal accident had befallen him, strict search was made, but to no avail. Whereupon the Craft of

Michigan raised a fund of \$1672 for relief and search, but no trace of the missing man was found. Finally, one Sunday morning in November, 1913, while walking in the woods near Newberry, Brother Irving Weber was attracted by a shoe lying close to the road. The singular circumstance excited his curiosity, and upon closer examination he found the skeleton of the long lost brother. Opening the vest, he discovered a soft-nosed bullet mushroomed against some pencils in the pocket, showing that the ball had passed through the body and lodged in the vest pocket. Evidently, a hunter, mistaking him for an animal, had shot him in the back and ran away and left him. The remains were taken to St. Charles and buried by his home Lodge, No. 313.

Of the relief fund, \$376 remained on hand unused. Upon inquiry it was found that the family of Brother Brownell were left in need, and this sum was presented to his wife on Christmas morning. It was a complete surprise to the young wife, whose tears told of her gratitude. During the long search for the body of Brother Brownell, his father-in-law, Brother Jay Doty, had mortgaged his little home for \$950 to aid in the search. No sooner had this sum been expended than he was smitten with almost total blindness, incapacitating him for doing any kind of work. In due course the mortgage was foreclosed, and Brother Doty was without a home. Once again the Masons took the matter in hand, St. Charles Lodge subscribing a fund with which it purchased a little home, and with the aid of other Lodges paid for it, giving Brother Doty a clear title. Such is the spirit of Masonry in Michigan; and the only reason given for this ministry in the report is as follows: "

"We should, as God prospers us as an institution and as individuals, be ready and anxious to aid those who are not fortunate or who have fallen by the wayside. We are our brother's keeper, and we cannot pass by on the other side when we see him in sore distress. If we do, we are not possessed of the Masonic Spirit, nor does the love of God dwell in us."

----O----

Knowledge is the solace of the intellect as religion is the comfort of the soul, and its acquisition is not a toil but an indescribable delight.

- G. W. Speth.

----o----

## **GOING TO BED.**

There is a hall in every house,  
Behind whose wainscot gnaws a mouse;  
Along whose sides are empty rooms,  
Peopled with dreams and ancient dooms.  
When down this hall you take your light,  
And face, alone, the hollow night,  
Be like the child who goes to bed,  
Though faltering and half adread  
Of something crouching crookedly  
In every corner he can see  
Ready to snatch him into gloom,  
Yet goes on bravely to his room,  
Knowing, above him, watching there,  
His Father waits upon the stair.

- Madison Cawein.

## **ROADS OF MASONIC RESEARCH**

By Bro. R.I. Clegg of Ohio

MASONRY is a prolific subject for discussion. Mention any angle of it and at once there is scope for abundant speculation, for cautious investigation, and for rigidly intensive and extensive study. Highways and byways there be through most delightful dales of dallice where the easy-going student may rest and refresh himself. Hills, too, there are whose loftiest crags defy scent save only to the hardest of ambitious climbers. To each according to his taste, to every one according the test, all having their reward in proportion to the capacity and capability of him who seeks.

From this broad sweep of the field Masonic it is easy to see how our society may by the flood of incoming suggestions be overwhelmed to a degree where performance must lag behind all promises. Editorial willingness to supply all desired information will wait perforce upon limited space. A plan by which inquiries can be assigned to competent and co-operative brethren to answer by correspondence is one method of dealing with that branch of the situation where for any reason the printed page is too tardy or otherwise insufficient. If queries or suggestions do not get early attention some of our members will perhaps naturally assume that their particular choice receives not the consideration worthy of it. Moreover, on the other hand, it is easy to reach a rut and stay there notwithstanding the high standard set at the start. Many essays are too often imitative and not initiative in either matter or manner. To avoid the whirlpool or the rock will call for all the Masonic enthusiasm, energy, and equipment of our editorial pilot.

Suppose we set down a few items toward which the taste of at least one Mason is inclined. The number of them is not comprehensive but merely illustrative. Neither is the order in which they are mentioned any indication of their relative importance. The appended queries are readily increased.

What is the present status of the Morgan question? Have the various references to his appearance in other lands--such as the one at Smyrna mentioned in the biography of Ren Perley Poore--been conclusively cleared up? That

the Anti-Masonic situation may have had a great dependence upon purely political matters is probable but how far has this been established? What foundation is there for the account by Father Eisenberg of a connection of the Jesuite with the Morgan mystery? Is there on record anywhere and what is the report of that early Committee of the Grand Lodge of New York appointed to collect and submit all the obtainable information on this subject? Did Col. King, John Whitney, Samuel Chubbuck, or Eli Bruce commit to anyone additional information not already ventilated by Rob Morris, W.L. Stone, Josiah Drummond, Thurlow Weed, John Ross Robertson, or other of the better known writers?

What about Leo Taxil? Has any Roman Catholic study of him appeared since he recanted from that faith? If so, would it be worth reproduction in synopsis or in extenso? Perhaps Benno Loewy or some other equally well-informed brother--if any there be-- could be induced to give us an up-to-the-times character study and biographical account of this curious individual.

The monitorial work of the Scottish Rite bears strong impress of the philosophy of Eliphaz Levi. An American investigation at first hand of the work of this mystic should not be lacking in attraction if sympathetically and skillfully performed.

What a fascinating subject would be the study of Masonic plays, operas, songs, and stories ! Mozart's "Zauberflote," Gounod's "Queen of Sheba," Dumas' "Balsamo" drama, and many others could probably to great advantage be reproduced. Especially would the words and music composed by Mozart for the ceremonies of his lodge be well deserving of record.

Much has been written upon the Old Charges and the Regius Manuscript but a complete modern rendering, particularly of the latter, is badly wanted. Put into twentieth century English these old treasures would have added value and a greatly enlarged circle of students. Hughan's "Old Charges" will in due course be reprinted by the Lodge of Research at Leicester, England.

How many and many a time does the story appear about a Pope being a member of the Masonic fraternity. Few Masonic magazines have failed to give it space at some time during lo these long years. Well, what are the facts ? Of late an effort has been made to filter the fiction out of this ancient tale. For sundry items of much interest about this hardy perennial blossom of the field Masonic thanks are due my good brethren Messrs. Shaver of Topeka, and Evans of Denver. Some of these days the data will be jotted down in shape for publication. Meantime any new or old contribution on this topic will be most thankfully received and in due course passed along to "The Builder."

What is the historic relation of the Church of Rome to the Craft and to its predecessors ? That there is a singular and suggestive connection between them running back into a remote past is very probable, and that their earlier relations may have been most amicable and cooperative is equally plausible. No one can consider similar symbolism, also the quaint reminders of the one set of ceremonies by the other, without an abiding impression that in the one body the other has found much.

Has the theory of Craft origins not paid too little respect to the probable deposition within the operative body of cathedral builders of the teachings and practices of the persecuted and disappearing Knights of the Temple? From whence naturally comes the Commandery if not by some such route ? Do the early accusations against the Templars not indicate certain rigorous tests of obedience and courage employed in their initiatory ceremonies ?

Is it not both desirable and feasible to briefly digest the several authoritative Masonic Codes? One State will not admit a candidate if lacking a finger. In another he would not be rejected if he lacked a finger but could not be admitted if he was without a hand. One State permits the officer's jewels to be suspended by pin, cord or ribbon and their aprons are blue. Another State calls for the white lambskin apron and the ribbon-hung jewel for officers. A stereopticon is forbidden in one State and permitted in another. One Grand Chapter requires its Royal Arch Masons to wear scarlet bordered aprons while another approves aprons entirely red. One Grand

Chapter has legislated upon the dimensions of certain of its furniture and properties. Others leave the whole matter to the individual tastes of the subordinate Chapters. Some Grand Lodges approve of rituals, others don't. Several require certificates of membership from visiting brethren. These and many others are instances showing a diversity of practice that maybe would in time become simplified and systematized were there any synopsis made and regularly remade of these differences.

What are the conditions of Masonry in other countries? Can we somehow get an insight of their ceremonies? For instance, there is no Scottish Rite in Germany but there is an Inneren Orient. What does this last most nearly compare to in our American series of degrees? How far does the German civil law interfere with the fraternity? The Rectified Rite is not unfamiliar to me but cannot discuss it in print. Could we not get that admirable and scholarly Mason, Edouard La-Tente, to give us a comparative paper on the Rites of Continental Europe? Maybe that facile linguist, Jose Castellot, at present head of the Scottish Rite of Mexico, might be induced to tell us of Masonry as practiced between the Straits of Magellan and the Rio Grande. Of the three governing bodies of the Craft in France and their relative purposes few American Freemasons are fully informed. Much misinformation is among us on this subject. A first step toward mutual respect is to be better informed. And our knowledge ought to include an acquaintance with the propaganda waged vigorously in France and Belgium against Freemasonry by the Abbe Tremontin and his Anti-Masonic followers. Our admiration for Latin Freemasonry will not be lessened when we understand the activity and force of the foes it faces.

What of Cerneau? Little is known. Dr. Reid, in his history of Washington Lodge, in the City of New York, has about a couple of pages devoted to Cerneau. Bro. Albert Pike has in the first ten volumes of the proceedings of the Southern Masonic Jurisdiction, and in his several pamphlets, dealt freely with Cerneauism but of its founder we find little beyond the accounts of the clash between De la Motta and Cerneau. In the earlier reports of the Grand Lodge of Pennsylvania there is to be found

mention of Cerneau because the lodge in the West Indies to which he is accredited was acting under authority of the officials at Philadelphia. But there is much data to be unearthed. What was Cerneau's trouble with the Grand Lodge of Havana before he left Cuba for the United States? What was the report of the Committee appointed by the Grand Lodge of Pennsylvania to look into that dispute? A committee was appointed but I have not discovered its conclusions. Of course I have read the report of a Committee on Cerneau appointed by the Grand Orient of France. The two reports would, combined, clear up a foggy episode in the progress of American Freemasonry.

Through what changes have our ritualistic ceremonies passed? There is immense difficulty in treating such a topic by the medium of the printed page. That it can adequately be done is very doubtful. There is more promise in classifying the work by Grand Jurisdictions so that a resident in any state or county might have a guide as to what ceremonies were most akin or least alike to those already familiar to him. Even at this stage, and certainly beyond it, the propriety of discussion without the tyed door is debatable.

Has anything of a comprehensive character been published on the progress of the monitorial work? The story of the Conservators and of like enterprises would be most instructive to many.

Down in the vault of the great library at Cedar Rapids is hidden much ritualistic material including the Bowers-Hughan manuscript, the Gilles Fellowcraft lecture, and hundreds of others. A catalogue of this abundance would be an interesting contribution and might exhibit at least the date when each item was in use and where it had its vogue and its survivals.

Let me not overlook a keen survey of topics treatable, topics upon which much more could be desired than is commonly found in print, that was published in the Palestine Bulletin by A. G. Pitts. A long life work stretches far away ahead of anyone doing full justice to but a tithe of the items mentioned by Bro. Pitts. Much the same can be said of these raised in my present

contribution and the number of them could be easily increased, a selection only being attempted. As opportunity may serve the writer will do his best as on other occasions to add to one or another of these subjects such facts as he can unearth. In this case he very gladly lends such help as he has at command and will ever be most appreciative of the labor of others in similar fields of endeavor.

A careful reading of all the foregoing leads the writer to consider two additional points. As in the case of the esoteric work there is much that cannot well be ventilated in the printed page. Would it not be well each year or bi-annually to call a convention of the members of the Society? If such meetings were held at Cedar Rapids, Philadelphia, Washington, Boston, Cincinnati, New York, or other cities possessing large Masonic libraries, the attendants at these conventions would not only have the benefit of these splendid collections but would enjoy companionship with kindred souls under most favorable conditions for the examination and discussion of the various questions such a body would handle. As to the time of year, and whether these gatherings should be coincident with the assemblies of other national or state Masonic meetings, need not be further dwelt upon now. Out of this idea of a national convention naturally evolves the thought of lesser sessions to be held wherever a few members of the Society can be assembled. Some one member in each section should be given a list of the local members and asked to get them together occasionally. How often and how regularly these meetings should be we will not now determine. A start is the main thing.

The second point is one approached with considerable diffidence. To my mind it is of the utmost importance. Yet few may agree with me. But here is the point: Too little emphasis is put upon the value of definitions. Let us take the classic instance attributed to Mackay. It is often quoted as "Freemasonry is a system of morality, veiled in allegory, and illustrated by symbols." It is captivating rhetoric but marks no essential difference between Christianity, or almost any other of the world's great religions, and Freemasonry. We may say that Freemasons reverence God, honor the Craft, and love their neighbors; Freemasonry being the institution

inculcating these duties. This is too diffuse for a definition. Nevertheless there is one more extended that may be acceptable to some of the brethren. Take the thirteenth chapter of Corinthians, that well known discussion of charity, and substitute Freemasonry for that oft misapplied word. This also may easily be criticized as a definition though worthy of consideration as an expression of Masonic faith.

The brethren will surely admit that the word "Freemasonry" is too loosely applied. If a Chinese literateur employs the square as a figure of speech it is too readily assumed that there is in China a Masonry like unto ours. Because fundamental truths are taught in Freemasonry there are those who look upon it as being from a remote age the preserver of all the verities of religion. We need not here and now decide how far we can fairly go in this direction. But to keep us in the path we require the light of consistent definitions, something more than the usual output of the dictionaries, and a trifle less aspiring than that very partial conviction which seeks to credit it with everything in sight.

Humble, gentle, merciful, just and devout souls are everywhere of one religion, and when death hath taken off the mask, they will know one another. --William Penn.

Masonry is Friendship, Love, and Integrity-- friendship which rises superior to the fictitious distinctions and arrangements of society, the prejudices of religion, and the pecuniary conditions of life; love which knows no limit, no inequality, no decay; integrity which binds a man to the eternal law of duty. --A. C. L. Arnold.

"All else for which the old builders sacrificed has passed away-- all their living interests, and aims, and achievements. We know not for what they labored, and we see no evidence of their reward. Victory, wealth, authority, happiness--all have departed, though bought by many a bitter sacrifice. But of them and their life and their toil upon earth, one reward, one evidence, is left to us in those gray heaps of deep wrought stone. They have taken with them to their graves their powers, their honors, and their errors; but they have left us their adoration." --John Ruskin. The Lamp of Sacrifice.

## **THE HEART OF GOD.**

I stood in the heart of God;  
It seemed a place that I had known:  
(I was blood-sister to the clod,  
Blood-brother to the stone.)

I found my love and labor there,  
My house, my raiment, meat and wine,  
My ancient rage, my old despair--  
Yea, all things that were mine.

I saw the spring and summer pass,  
The trees grow bare, the winter come;  
All was the same as once it was  
Upon my hills at home."

--William Vaughn Moody.

## **THE HEART OF MAN.**

Then suddenly in my own heart  
I felt God walk and gaze about;

He spoke; His words seemed held apart  
With gladness and with doubt.

Here is my meat and wine, he said,  
My love, my toil, my ancient care;  
Here is my cloak, my book, my bed,  
And here my old despair.

Here are my seasons: winter, spring,  
Summer the same, and autumn spills  
The fruits I look for; everything  
As on my heavenly hills.

--William Vaughn Moody.

## **EDITORIAL**

### LETTER OF GREETING

I hasten to tender my best wishes for the success of the new Society which has been founded by the Masonic scholars of the State of Iowa. The efforts of the late Theodore Sutton Parvin in the cause of Masonic Research have been ably continued by his son, your present Grand Secretary, and among the elder Brethren in your Grand Jurisdiction a spirit of inquiry with respect to the past of our Masonic Inheritance has taken firm root. Of this there is an example in point which is afforded by the formation of the Society whose literary organ it will be your privilege to conduct.

I do not think the editorial chair could be better filled. Your record as a writer of the Craft is a bright one; you are a ripe Masonic scholar, and have the power of expressing yourself with vigor and lucidity in the mother tongue of Freemasonry. All omens, therefore, point in the direction of the new Society being admirably served by the journal whose province it will be to record the progress of the Association, the labors of which are having their beginning with the current year.

As an Honorary Member of the Grand Lodge of Iowa for more than a quarter of a century, I am, have been, and shall ever remain deeply interested in all its proceedings, and that the important step it has recently taken with regard to the further development of Masonic Science may constitute another and lasting claim on the gratitude of all who are anxiously looking for "more Light," is my earnest Bravery

ROBERT FREKE GOULD

## **WORDS OF CHEER**

SURELY no first issue of a journal ever received more bouquets, and fewer brickbats, than the initial number of The Builder; and this is obviously due to the kindness of the Craft, and also to the deeply felt need for such a journal and the Society which it represents. Ye editor and secretary have been literally overwhelmed by innumerable letters of congratulation and goodwill, expressing appreciation, and offering the most hearty co-operation, and they take this opportunity of replying, since it would be impossible to do so otherwise, and of thanking the brethren for their words of good cheer.

Every feature of The Builder has met with praise, including its size, its design, its paper, type and cover, and almost every article it contained. Hardly a letter but has expressed gratitude for the lecture of Brother Pound, and eager anticipation of the other four lectures in the series - which moves us to announce that we have secured his series of lectures of the Symbolism of Masonry, to appear later. Ye editor is sincerely grateful for all the good words

about his little Foreward, which ran a neck and neck race with "Ernst and Falk" in the minds of our readers. Many suggestions have been offered, all of them timely and valuable, but hardly a single criticism - except from Brethren who are impatient and want everything done at once; an impatience due to their deep interest. Typical of hundreds of others is this most gracious letter from Past Grand Master Hepner, of Montana:

"I have carefully read the initial number of The Builder, and allow me to assure you that if the succeeding numbers are as good as the first, you will have the finest Fraternal Magazine ever published; every line of it is worthy of study by every member of the Craft, and its readers should reach into many thousands in numbers."

Instead of keeping these letters to ourselves, the editor and secretary feel that it is but fair that the Society should know what impression The Builder has made; and hence this brief catena of extracts telling from many points of view how and why the Society and its journal are appreciated. Often The Builder is praised as much for what it does not contain as for what it publishes, and we have deliberately selected the most conservative letters, lest our readers think us extravagant.

"As to the aim and object of the Society, I am in full accord. Co-operation upon the lines indicated in the Foreword must bring good results, and will fill a want long felt in the United States. There are several Societies of the kind in England, and all are doing good work." - Julius F. Sachse, Philadelphia.

"I fully appreciate the vast field that you are pioneering. You will have to furnish 'Mellen's Food' for the infants, and strong meat for the adults, and until you get the 'range' you will as often fire in the air over the heads of your constituents as you will shoot their boots off. But time, patience and perseverance will finally accomplish all things, and in the future you and your fellow-workers of the Grand Jurisdiction of Iowa will go down in history as the foster-fathers of true Masonic advancement." - H. F. Evans, Denver.

"The Builder starts off with the right spirit for constructive work in Masonry. The Foreword is splendid. After many years' work along educational lines in Masonry, I at last feel the encouragement which comes from a closer relation thus established with Brethren, widely scattered though they may be." - Thomas M. Stewart, Cincinnati.

"The absence of personal mention of bodies and individuals, which takes up ninety per cent of the average Masonic journal, is pleasing indeed. But more satisfactory to me is the policy inaugurated and embodied in the line, 'We drew a circle that took him in.' May this policy always be continued in The Builder." - J. Otis Ball, Evanston, Ill.

"I think the first issue of The Builder alone worth the price. Indeed I am afraid the price is too low, and unless the membership grows apace it will be necessary to mark it up. I believe and confidently hope that this movement will be a boon in Masonic circles, which we have needed for years." - Thomas A. Hines, Montgomery, Ala.

Indeed, it would be easy to fill one whole issue of The Builder with letters of like kind and spirit, but these are enough to show that this Society has struck a responsive chord in the heart of the Craft, and that it has a great work to do in advancing Masonry. Many thanks, Brethren, one and all; and we are sure that every member will do his part in spreading news of the Society and its journal, thereby making it more effective in behalf of an Order whose spirit of Freedom, Friendship and Fraternity is the hope of humanity. Both for himself, and for our hard-working and enthusiastic secretary, without whose investment of time, energy and money this Society would never have been founded, ye editor extends fraternal greetings and goodwill.

## **THE PEDAGOGY OF MASONRY-**

More and more it becomes clear that the problem before this Society - and before the Order generally, for the matter of that - is the problem of the pedagogy of Masonry. So far no one has solved that problem satisfactorily, albeit much has been done in that direction by means of books, pamphlets, oral and written lectures, study clubs, and various other methods. Still, we have not

yet formulated a definite, systematic, practical course of Masonic study for the use of young Masons who ask, as perhaps a half thousand have asked ye editor of late: How should we begin the study of Masonry, and where ? Moreover, as a chief reason for the existence of this Society is the working out of this very problem, for some time to come that task will be its primary undertaking, the more so because so many young Masons, new to the Order and its wonderful history and philosophy, are numbered among its members.

Therefore ye editor has planned a symposium on the subject of How to Study Masonry, and has asked a number of eminent Masons - some of them university men who have had long experience in teaching - to contribute to it, with the intent of bringing the best thought, experience and method of the Order to bear on the problem. When completed and published in full, as it will be in the Builder - beginning with the next issue - it is believed that this symposium will be one of the most suggestive and valuable documents in the literature of the Order. Meanwhile, that we may have the benefit of the widest experience and the most fruitful suggestion, we throw the door open to the whole Society, inviting contributions to this symposium from every quarter; and no one should hesitate because he has not been asked by letter to contribute.

What we want is the net result of the experience and counsel of the Craft. and with this in hand the Society will formulate and publish a detailed program by which a young Mason of average ability and training may begin the study of Masonry, and pursue it step by step intelligently, on a basis as sound pedagogically as it is Masonically, and thus come to know the story of this great Order, its development, its symbolism and philosophy. Time will be needed to work out this plan in all its details, but it not only must be done but can be done, and this Society can render no more important and far-reaching service to the Order.

**LIFE OF PIKE-**

Here is good news. At last there is to be a biography of Albert Pike, written by his daughter, Mrs. Lilian Pike Roome, whose service to the memory of her distinguished father will evoke the gratitude of Masons of every rite and rank who hold him in veneration, alike for his character and his genius. They will welcome every detail of the life of a man who devoted his extraordinary powers to the Order which he loved, and who wrought in its Temple as a Michel Angelo of Masonic architecture - the master genius of American Masonry. Many years have come and gone since he disappeared from mortal vision, and other men and other scenes have come upon the stage; but Pike still lives, as he wished to live when he said: "I wish my monument to be builded only in the hearts and memories of my brethren of the Ancient and Accepted Scottish Rite."

There will be no conflict between the labors of Mrs. Roome and the little book which ye editor has long had in mind, and to which reference was made in the first issue of the Builder. His work, should he find time to complete it, would be more a study than a biography, an estimate, at once sympathetic and critical, of Pike as a Masonic thinker and artist. No purer, nobler man has stood at our altar or left his story in our traditions. He was the most eminent Mason in the world, not only by virtue of his high rank, but by the richness of his culture, and the enduring quality of his achievement. Nor will the Order ever permit to grow dim that stately, grave, and gentle soul.

#### BRIEFER NOTES-

By the kindness of Sir W. J. Chetwode Crawley, Grand Treasurer of the Grand Lodge of Ireland, we have the advanced proofs of an essay from his pen, discussing "The Legend of the SS Quatuor Coronati." It embodies the first attempt at connecting mediaeval Freemasonry with the followers of Wycliff, the progenitors of the English Reformation, and also the earliest authentic and authorized association of Solomon with the Guild Legend. It is one of the most brilliant and important papers in recent Masonic Research, but as it would not be proper to anticipate its publication in the Transactions of the Quatuor Coronati Lodge, we reserve more extended notice of it until a later date.

It is good to know that we are to have a biography of Robert Collyer, one of the noblest preachers of his day and an ardent Freemason. It will be written by John Haynes Holmes, at the request of the family of Dr. Collyer. There was about Collyer, as Carlyle would say, something of the eternal. All who heard him carried a lingering, haunting suggestion that somehow, through this man, there came an echo of a voice divinely clear. He was a man of winning words and melting moods, as rich in pathos as in humor, and ye editor is one of the many thousands who can never forget him nor lose the impress of his rare and beautiful genius.

By all means let us have a question box, as so many have suggested, in which such questions as may properly be asked and answered in print may be taken up and discussed. Meantime, not a few may be glad to know of a little journal devoted to that very thing, "Miscellanea Latomorum or Masonic Notes and Queries," printed for private circulation by L. Upcott Gill & Son, Drury Lane, London, W. C., annual subscription five shillings. This little paper will be found very useful in many ways.

Of course, we cannot promise to deal with questions not Masonic, though a number of such inquiries have already reached this office; as for example, one brother asks for a little brief of what English literature has to say about the evil of gambling. Well, there is Scott's "St. Ronan's Well," and especially George Eliot's story of Fred Vincy in "Middlemarch," not to mention Dickens' "Old Curiosity Shop," which are among the most impressive exposures of the imbecility and disaster of gambling.

A certain minister who wishes to remain nameless - and we do not blame him - confides to ye editor that Masonry is an invention of Satan, and that Masons are on their way to perdition. His letter recalls the saying of Father Taylor, who was a good Methodist and a great humorist, when he said that it would not do to send Emerson to hell. Why, said he, the tide of immigration would set in that way.

----O----

Let a man learn to look for the permanent in the mutable and fleeting; let him learn to bear the disappearance of

things he was wont to reverence, without losing his reverence; let him learn that he is here, not to work, but to be worked upon; and that, though abyss open under abyss, and opinion displace opinion, all are at last contained in the Eternal Cause:

"If my bark sink, 'tis to another sea."

- Emerson

----o----

## **WHEN THE GAVEL SOUNDS TO CLOSE.**

How many of us Masons

Live according to our creed;

How many lend a helping hand

To a brother when in need ?

How many of us Masons

Have the lodge alone in mind

With the work so letter perfect

The great TRUTH we'll never find ?

How many of us Masons,

Both of high and low degree,

Are just button-wearing members

Even as you and me?

How many of us Masons

Have the true idea at heart,

And each is striving daily

To do his humble part ?

How many of us Masons,  
When the gavel sounds to close,  
Carries with him from the lodge room  
Better thoughts as home he goes ?

- James T. Wray, Worshipful Master, Evanston, Ill.

----o----

## **MERCIFUL GOD.**

When the victors and the vanquished are weeping for  
their dead,

When are hushed the vindications, the last wild prayers  
are said,

When from heaps of desolation, so late a fair domain,

To the wondering stars arises the incense of the slain,

O Thou of everlasting love, whose name we vainly call,

In Thy long-suffering tenderness have mercy on us all.

Do Thou dispel the primal fear, drive out the horde of  
hates,

And wake the Joy of Brotherhood for which this sad world  
waits.

- J.F.N.

----o----

## **IS IT MASONRY?**

Is it Masonry

To dare to take God's name in vain,

Or be careful of our speech;

From evil thoughts and words refrain,

And practice what we preach ?

Is it Masonry

To boast of your fine jewels,

Or purify your heart;

To be a man and Mason

And act a Mason's part?

Is it Masonry

To fail to help your brothers,

Or your obligations fill?

To leave it for the others,

Or mean and say 'I will?'

- F.G. Oliver, Georgia.

## **THE LIBRARY**

"IN A NOOK WITH A BOOK"

UTTERLY cast down by the gigantic tragedy of world-war, equally by the magnitude of its horror and by his own inability to grasp it as a whole - so vast are its issues and so rams the stage on which it is enacted - ye editor took down the epical drama of Thomas Hardy, "The Dynasts." (Macmillan Co.) He wished to re-read it, having just read an account of how it was acted on the stage in London recently - a feat he did not believe possible - and he is grateful for having done so, albeit he rejects more firmly than ever the Lucretian philosophy that runs through it.

Here is a drama of the ten years of the Napoleonic wars, in three parts, nineteen acts, one hundred and thirty-five

scenes, and more than five hundred characters. The action ranges over all Europe where the great battles were fought, through camps, in courts, in parliament, and not a great figure in any of the lands involved at the time is missing from the cast; hardly a high-lighted incident in the struggle is omitted. Truly, a wonderful achievement of genius, almost as if the historical tragedies of Shakespeare were rolled into one stupendous drama. By a miraculously swift panorama the scene unfolds, and we are now in Trafalgar, now at Leipsig, now at the burning of Moscow, now at Waterloo; now hearing Wellington swear, now watching Pitt die - the while those long dead men talk in a speech but slightly changed from the records of State papers, memoirs, and letters of long ago.

Not only so - and this is what held ye editor as by a spell - we are shown the whole picture from above and without the world, as it is discussed by disembodied intelligences who sit aloft and aloof, watching the drama and offering interpretation in stately comment. The Spirit of the Years, the chorus of the Pities, the Ironic Spirit, the Shade of the Earth, and the Spirit of Rumor hold high conclave, describing the acts of the drama with vividness of crisp detail, and debating their meaning. Always the Pities are wondering why such things can be as the Dynasts do, how the people can be so sacrificed to the rulers and have no profit of it all; while the Ironic Spirit mocks. The Spirit of the Years has no explanation save that it is so. And ever recurs the insistence that the Power which moves the show, Dynasts as well as their tools, works unknowingly, purposelessly, blindly, even as the Dynasts do in the end.

With this fatalistic atheism ye editor has no affinity - holding, rather, with Whitman, that we may know the universe as "roads for traveling souls," and also "that they go toward the best, toward something great" - none the less he rose from a rereading of "The Dynasts" and turned to the contemplation of the present war with a more cosmic view of it, seeing history repeating itself in the large and in detail, catching hints of recurrences of events and similitudes, and forefeeling the good working itself out through war, the Dynasts working their own undoing, and the people awakening to be no longer duped into mutual murder. So mote it be.

\* \* \*

By chance, as we say, the next book opened was a noble volume on "Greek Philosophy," by John Burnet, (Macmillan Co.) and as luck would have it, ye editor, turning through the many pages, fell upon the analysis and discussion of "Phaedrus" - that grand argument for the immortality of the soul, to which hardly a single reason has been added since that far off time - and he heard Socrates saying that such an argument ought to close with prayer. Whereupon he uttered that brief and wise prayer, putting into a few words all his desire:

"O beloved Pan, and all ye other gods of this place, grant me to become beautiful in the inner man, and that whatever outward things I have may be at peace with those within. May I deem the wise man rich, and may I have such a portion of gold as none but a just man can either bear or employ. Do we need anything else, Phaedrus ? For myself I have prayed enough."

"Make the same prayer for me, too," said Phaedrus, "for the possession of friends should be share and share alike."

How beautiful, how wise, reminding one of the prayer of two boys whereof we read in the old "Katha Upanishad" of India: "May He protect us both. May He enjoy us both. May our wisdom grow bright together." One may search the collects of the Church in vain for a parallel to this - the dear love of man for his comrade joined with a sense of care for the joy of God.

Rich in learning, this volume is so original in its interpretations that it will provoke debate, especially its efforts to disentangle Socrates from Plato in the dialogues. There is a noteworthy chapter of Pythagoras, "the man who first united science with religion," and he is given a higher place in the pantheon of philosophy than ever before - above even Socrates himself. Ye editor will return to this volume at another time, taking up the

analysis of "Philebus," the dialogue in which Plato expounds his philosophy of numbers, if so he may clear the air in respect of the use of numbers as spiritual symbols, about which there has been so much fog in Masonic thought.

\* \* \*

What is poetry ? It is music associated with pleasurable ideas, said Edgar Poe, who had more music and fewer pleasurable ideas than almost any man - his song having chiefly to do with the beauty of death, or else the death of beauty. Macaulay, who was sane to a fault - hopelessly sane - held that poetry is a form of insanity. Whereas Charles Lamb, who knew more about both poetry and insanity, made it a point to refute Macaulay. Perhaps we cannot do better than accept the definition of Wordsworth, that poetry is the breath and finer spirit of all knowledge; and if we say life instead of knowledge it is perfect.

Now ye editor is a lover of poetry - though he would not go as far as Lanier and say that poets are the only truth-tellers - and he has no patience with those who say that we have no great poetry today. Why, only the other day he opened a slender volume called "The Lonely Dancer," by Richard Le Gallienne, and read lines as perfect as ever were moulded by human lips. There is the song "To a Bird at Dawn," to which no one may fear to apply the term great - lines addressed to the "little creature of soft wings" in whose small feathered throat "sings the long epic of the world." They are worthy of Shelley or Keats, yet they came to birth in our time, and will be singing a hundred years hence:

"And there is something, the song saith,

That makes me unafraid of death."

\* \* \*

Speaking of poetry, here are the "Collected Poems" of Arthur Edward Waite, so well known and highly honored among Masons - a man to whom this world is an infinite parable, a place of sacraments and symbols; to whom the beauties of a beautiful creation are always the vesture and

the vesture only, of a world Unseen. The processes of Nature and the ritual of the seasons appeal to him as a great rite forever in the performing, and this sense of the wonder and bloom of the world gives glow and color to his song, and withal a richness of suggestion and finish of form. For example:

"Why hint so deeply, O mind within,  
Of the going forth and the coming in  
Of doves through an arch unbidden?  
Do I not know that the whence and where  
Of the life of man may be symbolled there ?  
But in light so bright and on sward so fair  
O let what is hidden be hidden."

\* \* \*

Of a truth, Algernon Blackwood - he who wrote that divinely beautiful story of "The Prisoner of Fairyland," wherein, if a man read with a mind "unwumbled," he will find his child-heart again - is a past-grand master of weird and ghostly stories. His new book, "Incredible Adventures" - and well-named it surely is - contains five "long-short" stories, each of which deals with the occult. The most remarkable is entitled "A Descent into Egypt," and tells how the remotest past lays hold of an excavator who penetrates the tombs of ancient dynasties, until the present world becomes indifferent to him. George Islay leaves his true soul at Thebes, and only a shell walks the streets of London. Egypt has a dangerous spell, we are told, for her worshippers. Behind the stillness of hot, windless days, behind the peace of calm, gigantic nights, it lurks unrealized, monstrous and irresistible. The stream of life runs backward and the heart lives backwards. The pilfering of her ancient dead Egypt suffers still; she, in revenge, preys at her leisure on the living. Ay, it gives one the creeps to read about it.

\* \* \*

If only one could remember all the striking phrases the startling and quick flashing of insight, which he meets in books, what a rich mind would be his reward. Here is a story, reflecting much of nobility and love and a restrained and quiet humor - "Faces in the Dawn," by Hagedorn - in which ye editor found these words which set him musing a whole evening, and the more he mused the more they meant:

"There are not two worlds, one outside the house and one inside; there are not two struggles. There is only one struggle, the struggle for spiritual growth and none of us can fight it for others, and none of us can fight it alone."

\* \* \*

#### BOOKS RECEIVED.

Practical Mysticism, by Evelyn Underhill. Dent and Sons, London.

The King of the Dark Chamber, by Tagore. Macmillan Co., New York.

The Rise of the American People, by R. G. Usher Century Co., New York.

Vitruvius: Ten Books on Architecture, translated by M. K. Morgan. Harvard University Press.

Jacques de Molay, by Charles Francis Lamb.

Fraternity, by J. R. Hutchins, Ardmore. Okla.

----o----

### **RESTORED TO LIGHT**

A faint light shining for a space;

A breath of wind upon the face;

A stirring in the mist; a sigh;

A sense of distance, height and sky;

A little wave of melody !  
O but how beautiful to see  
The light leaf dance upon the tree,  
The bloom upon the hedgerow stirr'd  
By the transport of a singing bird,  
And - after darkness and eclipse-  
The sun upon the sails of ships,  
All up and down the dancing sea !  
O but how beautiful to hear  
A little whisper in the ear,  
A smaller voice than note of bird,  
A still small voice, a mighty word,  
A whisper in the heart to say  
That God is not so far away !  
- A. E. Waite.

----o----

## **THE VERDICT.**

"The verdict which accumulates  
From lengthening scroll of human fates,  
Voice of earth to earth returned,  
Prayers of Saints that inly burn,-  
Saying, What is excellent,  
As God lives, is permanent;

Hearts are dust, heart's loves remain;

Heart's love will meet thee again."

- Emerson.